### british artists prints 1948 - 1966

a british council exhibition in the robert mcdougall art gallery, christchurch, n.z.

# christchurch pan pacific arts festival

march 9 30 1968
music
opera
ballet
films
drama
visual &
floral art

### **BRITISH COUNCIL EXHIBITION**

# BRITISH ARTISTS PRINTS

1948-1966

Brought to New Zealand from Poland by the British Council for exhibition at the

### PAN PACIFIC ARTS FESTIVAL CHRISTCHURCH

in the

### ROBERT McDOUGALL ART GALLERY

And thereafter circulated to other New Zealand Galleries by the

CITY OF AUCKLAND ART GALLERY

### ENGLISH PRINTS AND PRINT-MAKERS

The democratisation of the arts which has taken place in England since the war has led to a revival of interest in prints. One thing, in fact, is particularly striking—the number of young British painters who are deeply interested in the graphic medium, and who very much want to reach the wider audience which prints make available to them. Of the four English artists who exhibited at the Venice Biennale in 1966, half at least are print-makers. Bernard Cohen and Robyn Denny represent the abstract wing of English print-making. Bernard Cohen, in particular, shows an intricacy and a fantasy in his work which is apt to seem peculiarly English to foreign observers.

Younger artists reveal a complete change of attitude. They are not content with the old methods. Particularly interesting, in this connection, are the prints made by certain artists connected with the Pop Art movement. The sculptor Eduardo Paolozzi is generally recognised to have been very closely linked with the birth of Pop in the middle fifties, but he has never been wholly identified with the movement. His recent series of silk screen prints, a meditation on the life and work of the philosopher Wittgenstein, show a fascinating mind at work. In an early phase, Paolozzi used to give a special kind of surface to his sculptures by embedding small mechanical parts in the wax surface of the model. The bronze which resulted would have a skin of cogwheels and tiny levers. Paolozzi has abandoned this technique in his sculpture, in favour of a more radical and thoroughgoing exploration of mechanical forms, but it turns up again in his prints. The Wittgenstein series shows an infinitely laborious use of collage. The images are pieced together out of scraps of pattern, tiny fragments of mechanical drawing, and snippets borrowed from book illustrations and photographs.

The silk-screen process is used with great resource and flexibility to give these images life—each set of the prints is slightly different in colouring, and the colour, in all cases, has a brilliant intricacy which takes us back to the Pre-Raphaelites. In fact, these prints are made to be read, rather than to be looked at.

Many observers have commented on the 'literary' bias of English art, and it is interesting to see it turning up here in a new guise. The work of Paolozzi is not an isolated phenomenon. What he does is closely related, for instance, to the work of Joe Tilson. Tilson is a brilliant eclectic, who has experimented with many materials. He has, for example, made a series of prints which are really reliefs made of vacuum-formed plastic—a clever exploitation of modern industrial technology. And even in those prints where he is content simply with the resources offered to him by ink and paper, one is always conscious of how quickly he responds to contemporary icons and images. His favourite image, the ziggurat, which is to be seen in his paintings and reliefs as well as in his prints, is really a comment on this responsiveness, an acknowledgement of his fascination with the modern industrial babel.

Two other artists whose work has an affinity with Pop Art, Allen Jones and David Hockney—are lighter spirited and less intellectual in their reactions to the world they see around them. They are both clever draughtsmen. Jones's metamorphic figures (which also turn up in his paintings) are the product of extreme high spirits. They have a wit and a gaiety which most people find it easy to respond to.

Hockney is a more elusive talent, and perhaps a more interesting one. The great exponent of the Pop life-style, where the artist, by his dress and behaviour identifies himself completely with his work, and writes his autobiography in his pictures, Hockney is also fascinated by questions of technique. Indeed, when questioned, he asserts that "everything I do is really about technique." And it is quite true that one finds an extraordinary range of styles in his work, and that these styles are being deliberately put to the test, in order to find out what is in them. Unlike some of the other painters of his generation, who are skilful enough printmakers but seem to prize the medium chiefly because it gives a wider currency to their ideas, Hockney has a brilliant and subtle understanding of all the possible methods of print-making. His etchings are among the most sensitive and beautiful ever to be produced in England. As a technician he is only rivalled by an artist such as Michael Rothenstein, who has devoted himself wholly to print-making for some years now. Rothenstein's large woodcuts, with their combination of boldness and technical finesse, are related to the work of one or two Japanese artists, who also devote themselves entirely to print-making.

This brief survey by no means exhausts the number of English artist-printmakers. Victor Pasmore of the older generation, shows to particular advantage in his prints, not so much because these are radically different from his pictures (his prints often repeat ideas which have already been used in paintings), but because the technical demands of print-making seem to impose a certain conciseness. It is almost as if prints because of their smaller format and greater simplicity, require a more concentrated way of working.

The way in which art is developing in all industrial societies means, I suspect, that prints are going to play an increasingly larger role in our lives. Pictures—bulky, unwieldly and expensive —will tend to retreat into the art galleries. Prints will replace them on our walls. The print has something informal, something refreshingly unpretentious about it. We get the essence of the artist's talent, the absolutely direct statement. And meanwhile, the idea of the unique 'original', in the old, selfishly possessive sense, tends to go out of fashion. Or, at least, this is the way I feel about the new interest in prints and print-making. Feeling as I do, it's natural that I should be excited and stimulated by the rich variety of prints currently being made by leading British artists, and I hope that others will be also.

Edward Lucie-Smith.

#### BRITISH ARTISTS PRINTS

#### 1948-1966

TREVOR BELL Born 1930 Painter
1. <b>Tidal Space 1958</b> lithograph $19\frac{1}{2} \times 14\frac{3}{4}$ ins
ANTHONY BENJAMIN Born 1931 Painter
2. Eden Gate 1965 Silkscreen 23 x 21 ins
2. Eden Gate 1965 Silkscreen 23 x 21 ins 3. Mystery of Entrances 1966 Silkscreen 23 x 36 ins SANDRA BLOW Born 1925 Painter
SANDRA BLOW Born 1925 Painter
4. Elemental movement 1957 lithograph 24½ x 15 ins
DEREK BOSHIER Born 1937 Painter
5. <b>Output screenprint &amp; collage 1966</b> 24 <sup>3</sup> / <sub>4</sub> x 38 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>4</sub> ins
PATRICK CAULFIELD Born 1936 Painter
6. ICA screenprint ruins 1964 screenprint 23½ x 36 ins
GEOFFREY CLARKE Born 1924 Sculptor and staine glass designer
7. Landscape 1951 aquatint $10 \times 18\frac{1}{2}$ ins
8. Study for Sculpture 1956 aquatint $36\frac{1}{2} \times 22\frac{1}{2}$ ins
9. <b>Harlequin 1957</b> aquatint 39 x 24 ins
HENRY CLIFFE Born 1919 Painter and Printmaker
10. <b>Torso No. 1957</b> lithograph 29 x 21 in:
11. <b>Red morning 1958</b> lithograph $18\frac{3}{4} \times 29\frac{1}{2}$ in
BERNARD COHEN Born 1933 Painter
12. <b>Lithograph I 1965</b> lithograph 22 x 30½ in
13. Lithograph IV 1965 lithograph 22 x 30 in:
14. Purple with red line (Taper) 1966 screenprint 22\frac{1}{4} \times 29\frac{3}{4} \times 1966
ALAN DAVIE Born 1920 Painter
15 Zurich Improvisations No. XVI lithograph

 $23\frac{3}{4}$  x  $35\frac{1}{4}$  ins.

16. Zurich Improvisations No. XIX	lithograph 24 <sup>3</sup> / <sub>4</sub> x 35 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>4</sub> ins.	35. He must so to speak, throw away the ladder 1965 screenprint 32 x 22 ins.  36. The Spirit of the snake 1965 screenprint 32 x 22 ins.
ROBYN DENNY Born 1930 Painter		VICTOR PASMORE Born 1908 Painter
17. Suite II 1956 screenprint 18. Suite III 1966 screenprint	$30 \times 19\frac{1}{2}$ ins. $30 \times 19\frac{1}{2}$ ins.	37. Variation of Points of Contact No. 9 1966 screenprint 60 x 50 ins.
<ol> <li>Suite V 1966 screenprint</li> <li>MERLYN EVANS Born 1910 Painter</li> </ol>	30 x 19½ ins.	38. <b>Point of Contact No. 10 1966</b> screenprint 32 x 30 ins.
<ul> <li>20. Helmet mask 1957 sugar aqua</li> <li>21. Standing figures 1957 sugar aq</li> </ul>	tint $30\frac{1}{2} \times 20\frac{1}{2}$ ins. uatint $30\frac{1}{2} \times 20\frac{1}{2}$ ins.	MICHAEL ROTHENSTEIN Born 1908 Printmaker  39. Spider Jazz 1966 linoleum and metal relief
<ul><li>22. Thunderbird 1957 sugar aquat</li><li>S. W. HAYTER Born 1901 Painter a</li></ul>	•	22 x 35 ins.  40. <b>Diamond 1966</b> linoleum engraving 30 x 20 ins.  41. <b>Radical Shakes 1966</b> woodcut and linoleum
<ul> <li>23. Tropic of Cancer 1949 Engrave</li> <li>24. Poisson Rouge 1957 Engravin</li> <li>25. Witches Sabbath 1958 Engrave</li> </ul>	g 14 x $19\frac{1}{2}$ ins.	36 x 26 ins.  PETER SEDGLEY Born 1930 Painter
DAVID HOCKNEY Born 1937 Paint	ter and Printmaker	42. <b>Looking Glass 2 1966</b> screenprint 20 x 20 ins. 43. <b>Looking Glass 7 1966</b> screenprint 20 x 20 ins.
<ul><li>26. Figure in front of curtain 1964</li><li>27. Water pouring 1964 lithograph</li></ul>	lithograph 19 x 24 ins. 19 x 23 ins.	GRAHAM SUTHERLAND Born 1903 Painter  44. Turning form 1948 lithograph 16 x 23 ins.
28. Godliness is next to cleanliness 19		<ul> <li>45. Predatory form II 1953 lithograph 29½ x 21½ ins.</li> <li>46. Bats Owls and hanging forms 1956 lithograph</li> </ul>
GORDON HOUSE Born 1932 Painte consultant	r and freelance design	$19\frac{7}{2} \times 25\frac{1}{2}$ ins.
<ul> <li>29. Series 40 cm B 1965 screenpr</li> <li>30. Series 40 cm E 1965 screenpr</li> <li>31. Dial Set Six 1966 screenprint</li> </ul>	•••	JOE TILSON Born 1928 Painter and Printmaker         47.       Geometry? 1965 screenprint       27 x 39 ins.         48.       Ziggurat 6 1966 screenprint       27½ x 40¾ ins.
ALLAN JONES Born 1937 Painter 32. Polka 1965 screenprint 33. Woman 1965 lithograph and EDUARDO PAOLOZZI Born 1924 Sc	24 x 17 ins. collage 28 x 22 ins.	

 $21\frac{3}{4}$  x 13 ins.

Figure 1958

34.

Silkscreen



# Rothmans

CULTURAL FOUNDATION
IN SUPPORT OF THE
CHRISTCHURCH PAN PACIFIC ARTS
FESTIVAL